

Collins, Kathleen

From: Palmer, John
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 3:20 PM
To: Collins, Kathleen; Washington, Audrey
Subject: FW: Revised RPA and Agenda for Tomorrow's call
Attachments: Oregon Toxics RPAs EPA 7-18 Edits.docx

2nd email

From: John Palmer [mailto:Palmer.John@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 2:48 PM
To: Palmer, John
Subject: Fw: Revised RPA and Agenda for Tomorrow's call

----- Forwarded by John Palmer/R10/USEPA/US on 08/05/2015 02:47 PM -----

From: John Palmer/R10/USEPA/US
To: Robert Anderson <robert.c.anderson@noaa.gov>,
Cc: Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeff Lockwood <jeffrey.lockwood@noaa.gov>, Kim.Kratz@noaa.gov, Laurie Beale <Laurie.Beale@noaa.gov>, Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Cohon.Keith@epa.gov
Date: 07/18/2012 10:33 AM
Subject: Re: Revised RPA and Agenda for Tomorrow's call

Hi all,

Please find attached an alternative RPA version. This version builds off the the version we were working on last week. This version is specific to EPA's RPA actions (rather than the most recent version from NMFS that leaves EPA's action vaguely defined).

John

(See attached file: Oregon Toxics RPAs EPA 7-18 Edits.docx)

▼ Robert Anderson ---07/17/2012 12:40:09 PM---All - after I sent out the revised RPA I realized that the numbers in the document were based on the

From: Robert Anderson <robert.c.anderson@noaa.gov>
To: John Palmer/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Anderson <robert.c.anderson@noaa.gov>, Jeff Lockwood <jeffrey.lockwood@noaa.gov>, Kim.Kratz@noaa.gov, Laurie Beale <Laurie.Beale@noaa.gov>, Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/17/2012 12:40 PM
Subject: Revised RPA and Agenda for Tomorrow's call

All - after I sent out the revised RPA I realized that the numbers in the document were based on the 0.5 factor and needed to be corrected. Please refer to the attachment for the correct numeric values.

For tomorrow's call, the key items up for discussion are:

(1) decision on alternatives a or b: (a) Disapprove and promulgate, or (b) the EPA may implement the

RPA for the seven aquatic life criteria through any means within its authority, e.g., EPA can recommend that the State of Oregon adopt, if necessary federally promulgate, new criteria, or EPA can implement revised aquatic life criteria that avoid jeopardy and destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat to the listed species considered in this opinion using other administrative mechanisms within its authority.

(2) ITS

(3) schedule and process for final comments. We had previously identified June 20th as the deadline for final comments on the RPA/opinion.

Thanks

robert

p.s. John, do you have a conference line available?

[attachment "Oregon Toxics RPA_07172012.docx" deleted by John Palmer/R10/USEPA/US]